UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,529	08/11/2005	John F Wetling	36731-000052/US	1446
	7590 03/30/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.I	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 8910	·	PATTON, AMANDA K		
RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/523,529	WETLING, JOHN F		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Amanda Patton	3762		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 №</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the process.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 7-10,12-16 and 20 is/are pending in the specification is objected to by the Examine 9. Claim(s) 15/4 are specification is objected to by the Examine 16. Claim(s) 7-10,12-16 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 15/4 are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 16/4 are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) 16/4 are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) 16/4 are subjected to by the Examine 16/4 are specification is objected to by the Examine 16/4 are s	own from consideration. or election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be considered as a considered to by the E.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seetion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/4/9.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 7, 2008 has been entered. Currently claims 7-10, 12-16, and 20 are pending in this application.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

However, disclosure of the invention in the foreign priority application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products*, *Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The disclosure of the prior-filed application, PCT/DK03/00523 fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application.

Claims 7-10, 12-16, and 20 are not supported by either the prior filed application or the presently filed application as further detailed below.

Claims 12-13 are not supported by the prior filed application, as there is no mention of "electrically isolating the patient from ground" or "spraying an area of a patient with ions".

Application/Control Number: 10/523,529 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification, as currently amended, does not adequately describe the claimed invention of claims 7-10, 12-16, and 20. For example, the specification does not disclose the following structural and method step limitations:

- "feedback unit is adapted to keep the objected at a specific potential" as required by claim 7,
 lines 8-9;
- "wherein the feedback unit is adapted to monitor a number of discharged ions per unit of a time measure" of claim 8, lines 1-2;
- "wherein the feedback unit is adapted to monitor a total charge delivered to the object" of claim 9, lines 1-2 and claim 14, lines 1-2;
- "wherein the feedback unit is adapted to monitor a time measure for an exposure of ions by the object" of claim 10, lines 1-2, claim 15, lines 1-2, and claim 16, lines 1-2;
- "electrically isolating the patient from ground" of claim 12, line 3;
- "maintaining the object at a specific potential" of claim 12, line 6;
- "further including positioning the patient in a vertical of horizontal position on an insulating cover" of claim 13, lines 1-2 and "wherein the insulating cover is adapted to carry the object in a horizontal or a vertical orientation" of claim 20, lines 1-2 (a horizontal position is shown in Figure 5, but no vertical position is described or shown in the specification).

Art Unit: 3762

If applicant believes these structural and method step limitations are included in the specification, citation of the specific portion of the specification where there are found is requested. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 7-10, 14-16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 7, line 3 appears to be positively claim connection to the human body. It is suggested to replace the word "attached" with "attachable" so that there is no positive claim to a human body and no claim of connection to the human body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 7-10, 12-16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 7, lines 8-9 recite the phrase "adapted to maintain an electrical potential of the object to be treated" and Claim 12, line 6 recites the phrase "maintaining the

Application/Control Number: 10/523,529 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

object at a specific potential". which does not find support in the specification as originally filed.

Thus it is unclear how the feedback unit is adapted to keep the object at a specific potential" as

no adequate accompanying description is given.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7-10, 14-16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7, lines 5-7 recite a feedback unit, but do not disclose how the feedback unit

controls the exposure and does of ions given to the object being treated, as the feedback unit is

not capable of sensing any parameter as presently filed.

Claim 7, line 3 recites "an object". It is unclear if the "object" is being functionally or

positively recited. It is suggested to replace the word "attached" with "attachable" so that there

is no positive claim to a human body and no claim of connection to the human body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis

for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the Applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for

Art Unit: 3762

patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the Applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-10, 12-16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gisel (USPN 6,549,808, as previously cited).

Regarding **claims 7, 9, 12, and 14**, Gisel et al. disclose a negative ion generator, an electrical cable adapted to be placed between a feedback unit and an object to be treated, (e.g., FIGS. 1–5; ABSTRACT, lines 11–14), a feedback unit (e.g., element 52, regulator) and an insulating cover (e.g., element 18, flexible plastic material); electrically isolating a patient (e.g., column 3, lines 21–23; column 5, lines 7–9); spraying an area of a patient with ions (e.g., ABSTRACT, lines 11–14; column 3, lines 25–26 and 36–38), wherein feedback unit is adapted to keep the object at a specific potential (e.g. column 3, line 33).

It is the Examiner's position that the insulating cover of Gisel is capable of being placed beneath the object being stimulated, as the term "beneath" is a relative term. If the patient was lying on their stomach the insulating cover would be beneath the patient.

It is also the Examiner's position that the feedback unit of Gisel is located in between the power unit (e.g. battery 40) and the object (e.g. electrodes 20 and 22 connected to the patient) and is capable of controlling an exposure and dose of ions to the object being treated, as the

Application/Control Number: 10/523,529 Page 7

Art Unit: 3762

providing of an increased voltage differential IS controlling the exposure and dose of ions to the object being treated and keeping it at a specific potential as directed by the user. As the device is capable of controlling an exposure and does of ions to the object being treated as well as the amount of time of treatment (e.g. Col. 5, lines 35-36), it necessarily is able to monitor the total charge delivered to the object.

In the alternative, it would have an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system and method as taught by Gisel with a separate feedback unit located between the power unit and the object, because Applicant has not disclosed that this arrangement provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the feedback as taught by Gisel, because it provides a reliable feedback unit and since it appears to be an arbitrary design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over Gisel. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Gisel to obtain the invention as specified in the claims.

Regarding **claims 13 and 20**, the patient of Gisel can be considered vertical or horizontal relative to the insulating cover.

Regarding **claims 8, 10, 15 and 16**, Gisel et al. disclose monitor a number of discharged ions per unit of a time measure (e.g., column 3, lines 39–41; column 5, lines 35–37).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-10, 12-16, and 20 regarding Copus have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3762

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-10, 12-16, and 20 regarding Gisel have been considered but are not persuasive, inasmuch as they apply to the rejections above necessitated by the amendments to the claims. In particular, Examiner asserts that as each electrode of Gisel is help at the same potential they are maintained at a specific potential. Applicant has not provided any support from the specification to show how the present invention is different from the invention of Gisel.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda Patton whose telephone number is (571) 270-1912. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30am - 5:00pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/AKP/ Examiner, Art Unit 3762 /George R Evanisko/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762